



# **GERRARDS CROSS** **PARISH COUNCIL**

South Lodge, East Common, Gerrards Cross SL9 7AD

## **Minutes of the Planning Committee** **Meeting on Monday 8<sup>th</sup> February 2010 at 7.00p.m. South Lodge**

Present: Mrs S Sharma (Chairman), Mr I Gordon, Mr N Moss, Mrs M May,  
Mr P Grimes. Mr D Peters and Mrs J Woolveridge.

1 **Apologies for absence – Mr P Chapman & Mrs P Fea**

2 **To consider the following plans**

3 **7 plans were considered and 3  
attracted comment.**

10/00060/FUL **19 Gaviots Close.**  
Construction of entrance gates and hand rail.

The Council is surprised that the gates are not inset to prevent vehicles obstructing the road whilst waiting for the gates to open.

10/00072/FUL **Rivendell, 115 Camp Road.**  
Replacement detached garage with accommodation in the roofspace.

The Council objects to this application in that it does not comply with South Bucks Local Plan H12 b, c ,f and EP3 in that it is an over development and not compatible in the locality. Whilst it is understood the accommodation is for a close relative at the moment it is capable of being a separate dwelling, so a legal agreement should be imposed to keep this garage within the curtilage of 115 Camp Road and always secondary to the main house.

10/0107/ADJ

**The Oaks, Bull Lane.**  
Replacement detached dwelling (Chiltern DC Ref:  
CH/2009/1846/FA).

The Council object to this large proposed dwelling which represents an over development of the site. It would detract from the visual amenity of the area. The proposal would be unneighbourly as it is over dominant of the neighbouring property, Apple Barn. The change of footprint means that Apple Barn is severely disadvantaged by the proposal as their main reception rooms (drawing room, dining room and kitchen/breakfast room) would be in danger of being overlooked by the bedrooms at The Oaks. The pool and patio, which are at present secluded and sunlit, would have privacy and loss of amenity issues. In the plan, the west corner of the proposed dwelling is 1.1 metre from

the boundary and the 50 foot deep railway cutting - has Network Rail been informed?

If planning permission is granted then a condition should be attached to ensure the bathroom over the garage and the bathrooms to bedrooms 4 & 5 are obscurely glazed to prevent loss of privacy and overlooking in the interests of the amenities of the adjoining property.

**The Council had no objection to the following applications.**

- 10/00079/FUL **The Spinney, 6 Hillcrest Waye.**  
Detached garage.
- 10/00076/FUL **Voewood, 3 Layters Way.**  
Provision of solar panels on south side of roof.
- 10/00069/FUL **Meadow Bank, 30 Beech Waye.**  
Two storey side extension.
- 10/0061/FUL **Woodstock, 71 Howards Wood Drive.**  
Two storey front extension, two storey and ground floor rear extension, alterations to roof.

**The following plan was dealt with under delegated authority:-**

- 10/00108/VC **Tesco, Packhorse Road.**  
Variation of Condition 1 of planning permission 01/01440/VC to allow the development of an entrance lobby.

No objection.

The meeting started at 7.00p.m. and ended at 7.50p.m.

**Date of next meeting – 8<sup>th</sup> March 2010**

**Signed:**